

CONTENTS.

* * * This Table of Contents, and the copious Index to the volume, were obligingly prepared by the Rev. G. F. WRIGHT, of Andover.

ARTICLE I.

THE ORIGIN OF SPECIES BY MEANS OF NATURAL SELECTION.

PAGE

Views and Definitions of Species.—How Darwin's differs from that of Agassiz, and from the Common View.—Variation, its Causes unknown.—Darwin's Genealogical Tree.—Darwin and Agassiz agree in the Capital Facts.—Embryology.—Physical Connection of Species compatible with Intellectual Connection.—How to prove Transmutation.—Known Extent of Variation.—Cause of Likeness unknown.—Artificial Selection.—Reversion.—Interbreeding.—Natural Selection.—Classification tentative.—What Darwin assumes.—Argument stated.—How Natural Selection works.—Where the Argument is weakest.—Objections.—Morphology and Teleology harmonized.—Theory not atheistical.—Conceivable Modes of Relation of God to Nature . 9

ARTICLE II.

DESIGN *versus* NECESSITY—A DISCUSSION.

How Design in Nature can be shown.—Design not inconsistent with Indirect Attainment 62

ARTICLE III.

NATURAL SELECTION NOT INCONSISTENT WITH NATURAL THEOLOGY.

	PAGE
PART I.—Premonitions of Darwinism.—A Proper Subject for Speculation.—Summary of Facts and Ideas suggestive of Hypotheses of Derivation	87
PART II.—Limitations of Theory conceded by Darwin.—What Darwinism explains.—Geological Argument strong in the Tertiary Period.—Correspondence between Rank and Geological Succession.—Difficulties in Classification.—Nature of Affinity.—No Absolute Distinction between Vegetable and Animal Kingdoms.—Individuality.—Gradation	104
PART III.—Theories contrasted.—Early Arguments against Darwinism.—Philosophical and Theological Objections.—Theory may be theistic.—Final Cause not excluded.—Cause of Variation unknown.—Three Views of Efficient Cause compatible with Theism.—Agassiz's Objections of a Philosophical Nature.—Minor Objections.—Conclusion	129

ARTICLE IV.

SPECIES AS TO VARIATION, GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION, AND SUCCESSION.

Alphonse De Candolle's Study of the Oak Genus.—Variability of the Species.—Antiquity.—A Common Origin probable.—Dr. Falconer on the Common Origin of Elephants.—Variation and Natural Selection distinguished.—Saporta on the Gradation between the Vegetable Forms of the Cretaceous and the Tertiary.—Hypothesis of Derivation more likely to be favored by Botanists than by Zoölogists.—Views of Agassiz respecting the Origin, Dispersion, Variation, Characteristics, and Successive Creation of Species contrasted with those of De Candolle and others.—Definition of Species.—Whether its Essence is in the Likeness or in the Genealogical Connection of the Individuals composing a Species	178
--	-----

ARTICLE V.

SEQUOIA AND ITS HISTORY: THE RELATIONS OF NORTH AMERICAN TO NORTH-EASTERN ASIAN AND TO TERTIARY VEGETATION.

	PAGE
Age and Size of Sequoia.—Isolation.—Decadence.—Related Genera.—Former Distribution.—Similarity between the Flora of Japan and that of the United States, especially on the Atlantic Side.—Former Glaciation as explaining the Present Dispersion of Species.—This confirmed by the Arctic Fossil Flora of the Tertiary Period.—Tertiary Flora derived from the Preceding Cretaceous.—Order and Adaptation in Organic Nature likened to a Flow.—Order implies an Ordainer	205

ARTICLE VI.

THE ATTITUDE OF WORKING NATURALISTS TOWARD DARWINISM.

General Tendency to Acceptance of the Derivative Hypothesis noted.—Lyll, Owen, Alphonse De Candolle, Bentham, Flower, Allman.—Dr. Dawson's "Story of the Earth and Man" examined.—Difference between Scientific Men and General Speculators or Amateurs in the Use of Hypotheses.	236
---	-----

ARTICLE VII.

EVOLUTION AND THEOLOGY.

Writings of Henslow, Hodges, and Le Conte examined.—Evolution and Design compatible.—The Admission of a System of Nature, with Fixed Laws, concedes in Principle all that the Doctrine of Evolution requires.—Hypotheses, Probabilities, and Surmises, not to be decried by Theologians, who use them, perhaps, more freely and loosely than Naturalists.—Theologians risk too much in the Defense of Untenable Outposts	252
--	-----

ARTICLE VIII.

"WHAT IS DARWINISM?"

Dr. Hodge's Book with this Title criticised.—He declares that Darwinism is Atheism, yet its Founder a Theist.—Darwinism	
---	--

founded, however, upon Orthodox Conceptions, and opposed, not to Theism, but only to Intervention in Nature, while the Key-note of Dr. Hodge's System is Interference.—Views and Writings of St. Clair, Winchell, and Kingsley adverted to . 266

ARTICLE IX.

CHARLES DARWIN: SKETCH ACCOMPANYING A PORTRAIT IN "NATURE."

Darwin's Characteristics and Work as a Naturalist compared with those of Robert Brown.—His Illustration of the Principle that "Nature abhors Close Fertilization."—His Impression upon Natural History exceeded only by Linnæus.—His Service in restoring Teleology to Natural History 283

ARTICLE X.

INSECTIVOROUS PLANTS.

Classification marks Distinctions where Nature exhibits Gradations.—Recovery of Forgotten Knowledge and History of what was known of *Dionæa*, *Drosera*, and *Sarracenia* . . 289

ARTICLE XI.

INSECTIVOROUS AND CLIMBING PLANTS.

Review of Darwin's Two Works upon these Subjects.—No Absolute Marks for distinguishing between Vegetables and Animals.—New Observations upon the Sundews or *Droseras*.—Their Sensitiveness, Movements, Discernment of the Presence and Appropriation of Animal Matter.—*Dionæa*, and other Plants of the same Order.—*Utricularia* and *Pinguicula*.—*Sarracenia* and *Nepenthes*.—Climbing Plants; the Climbing effected through Sensitiveness or Response to External Impression and Automatic Movement.—Capacities inherent in Plants generally, and apparently of no Service to them, developed and utilized by those which climb.—Natural Selection not a Complete Explanation 308

ARTICLE XII.

DURATION AND ORIGATION OF RACE AND SPECIES.

	PAGE
PART I. —Do Varieties in Plants wear out, or tend to wear out?— The Question considered in the Light of Facts, and in that of the Darwinian Theory.—Conclusion that Races sexually propa- gated need not die of Old Age.—This Conclusion inferred from the Provisions and Arrangements in Nature to secure Cross-Fertilization of Individuals.—Reference to Mr. Darwin's Development of this View	338
PART II. —Do Species wear out, and, if not, why not?—Implication of the Darwinian Theory that Species are unlimited in Exist- ence.—Examination of an Opposite Doctrine maintained by Naudin.—Evidence that Species may die out from Inherent Causes only indirect and inferential from Arrangements to secure Wide Breeding.—Physiological Import of Sexes.— Doubtful whether Sexual Reproduction with Wide Breeding is a Preventive or only a Palliative of Decrepitude in Species. —Darwinian Hypothesis must suppose the Former	347

ARTICLE XIII.

EVOLUTIONARY TELEOLOGY.

The Opposition between Morphology and Teleology reconciled by Darwinism, and the Latter reinstated.—Character of the New Teleology.—Purpose and Design distinguished.—Man has no Monopoly of the Latter.—Inference of Design from Adaptation and Utility legitimate; also in Hume's Opinion irresistible.—The Principle of Design, taken with Specific Creation, totally insufficient and largely inapplicable; but, taken with the Doctrine of the Evolution of Species in Nature, applicable, pertinent, and, moreover, necessary.—Illustrations from Abortive Organs, supposed Waste of Being, etc.—All Nature being of a Piece, Design must either pervade or be absent from the Whole.—Its Absence not to be inferred because the Events take place in Nature.—Illustration of the Nature and Province of Natural Selection.—It picks out but does not origi-

nate Variations; these not a Product of, but a Response to, the Environment; not physical, but physiological.—Adapta- tions in Nature not explained by Natural Selection apart from Design or Final Cause.—Absurdity of associating Design only with Miracle.—What is meant by Nature.—The Tradition of the DIVINE in Nature, testified to by Aristotle, comes down to our Day with Undiminished Value	356
--	-----

INDEX	391
-----------------	-----