

Table of contents

Acronyms and abbreviations	13
Executive summary	15
Chapter 1. Biodiversity offsets: Overview and insights for good practice	19
Biodiversity: An invisible – yet invaluable – life support system	20
Biodiversity offsets and their role in biodiversity conservation and sustainable use ...	20
Key design and implementation features and good practice insights	24
References	26
Annex 1.A1. Case study overview	29
PART I Key design and implementation issues	37
Chapter 2. No net loss, the mitigation hierarchy and the economics of biodiversity offsets	39
No net loss	40
The mitigation hierarchy	43
Three types of biodiversity offsets: One-off, biobanking and in-lieu fees	50
The economics of biodiversity offsets	53
Notes	59
References	60
Chapter 3. Institutional frameworks for biodiversity offsets	65
Compliance-based or mandatory approaches to biodiversity offset programmes	66
Biodiversity offset requirements as a condition of project finance	70
Voluntary approaches for biodiversity offsets	73
Comparing the institutional architectures for offset schemes	75
Note	77
References	77
Chapter 4. Design and implementation features of biodiversity offset programmes	81
Coverage and thresholds	82
Equivalence	87
Additionality	99
Permanence	101

Monitoring, reporting and verification	104
Compliance and enforcement	110
Transaction costs	113
Notes	118
References	119
Part II Case studies	129
Chapter 5. Compensatory mitigation and wetland banking in the United States	131
The environmental and regulatory context of wetland compensatory mitigation	132
Design and implementation of the US Wetland Compensatory Mitigation programme	139
Performance assessment in wetland compensation	150
Insights, best practices and lessons learned	159
Notes	167
References	168
Chapter 6. German Impact Mitigation Regulation in Hessen	175
Introduction	176
The German Impact Mitigation Regulation as the legal framework	177
Key design and implementation features of the Impact Mitigation Regulation in Hessen	178
The Impact Mitigation Regulation in Hessen in practice	184
Insights and lessons learned from the Hessian experience	190
Notes	193
References	194
Chapter 7. Mexican Environmental Compensation Scheme for Land-Use Change in Forested Areas	199
Introduction: Background, rationale and objectives of the Mexican Environmental Compensation Scheme for Land-use Change in Forested Areas	200
Key design and implementation features of the Mexican scheme	201
Environmental and cost effectiveness of the CUSTF	212
Conclusions	218
Note	219
References	220
Annex II.A1. Summary of offset features in Germany, Mexico and the United States	221

Tables

Table 1.1.	Characteristics of biodiversity offsets in comparison to a selection of other instruments for biodiversity conservation and sustainable use.....	21
Table 1.2.	Examples of sectors in which biodiversity offsets have been used.....	22
Table 1.3.	Finance mobilised by selected biodiversity offset programmes (illustrative).....	23
Table 1.4.	Key design and implementation features of biodiversity offset programmes	24
Table 2.1.	Specification of biodiversity objectives across different programmes	41
Table 2.2.	Conditions for approval of projects with adverse impacts on natural and critical habitat under the International Finance Corporation's Performance Standard 6	50
Table 2.3.	Guidance material on the mechanisms for avoidance and minimisation of biodiversity loss in British Columbia, Canada	51
Table 2.4.	Summary characteristics of the three biodiversity offset mechanisms.....	54
Table 3.1.	Legislation that requires the provision of biodiversity offsets.....	67
Table 3.2.	Biodiversity offset requirements as a condition of finance approval or financial services provision	71
Table 4.1.	Coverage of selected biodiversity offset schemes	83
Table 4.2.	Criteria or assessing equivalence in the BioBanking Programme in New South Wales, Australia	89
Table 4.3.	Replacement ratios for wetlands compensation in Alberta, Canada	92
Table 4.4.	Relative time scales for the recreation of a selection of habitats in Europe	96
Table 4.5.	Examples of monitoring and inspection approaches for biodiversity offsets.....	108
Table 4.6.	Results of the Government Accountability Office's review of the Army Corps of Engineers' oversight of mitigation banks ¹	109
Table 4.7.	Biobanking payment schedules of an offset supply agreement in New South Wales, Australia	112
Table 4.8.	Biobanking fees for service, New South Wales, Australia	114

Table 4.9.	Distribution of known biodiversity credit prices and total costs to offset a development project.....	116
Table 6.1.	Classification of land use/biotope type with examples of eco points	180
Table 6.2.	Eco-points sold by the eco-agency, total payments received and average price per point	185
Table 7.1.	Key design elements of the Mexican Environmental Compensation for Land-use Change in Forested Areas Program.....	204
Table 7.2.	Reference cost by ecosystem type	205
Table 7.3.	Comparison of activities required in different ecosystems	205
Table 7.4.	Technical criteria applicable to determine the equivalence ratio	206
Table 7.5.	Area authorised for land-use change by ecosystem type, 2004-13	208
Table 7.6.	Limitations, challenges and opportunities of the CUSTF	216

Figures

Figure 2.1.	The mitigation hierarchy	44
Figure 2.2.	Determining when offsets are an appropriate policy response under the Environmental Offsets Policy in Australia: The role of offsets within the broader environmental impact assessment process.....	46
Figure 2.3.	Likelihood of a biodiversity offset being required under the draft South African Offset Guidelines	49
Figure 2.4.	Private vs. socially optimal level of development	55
Figure 3.1.	Drivers of biodiversity offsets	66
Figure 3.2.	Number of countries that have, are developing or are considering national government policies that require or enable the use of offsets.....	69
Figure 4.1.	Characteristics of native vegetation that determine its biodiversity value.....	86
Figure 4.2.	A general process for creating a biodiversity indicator	88
Figure 5.1.	Screenshot of the RIBITS site showing a list of wetlands banks and a map of banks in east-central Wisconsin.....	149
Figure 5.2.	US Wetland Compensation mechanism, 2011-12	153
Figure 5.3.	Approval of mitigation bank sites.....	154
Figure 5.4.	Time to permit by compensation mechanism, 2011-12	157
Figure 6.1.	Eleven largest registered measures in eco-accounts according to <i>NATUREG</i>	186

Figure 7.1.	Area approved for land-use changes versus area allocated for compensation.....	213
Boxes		
Box 2.1.	Strategic conservation planning for avoiding biodiversity loss in high conservation value areas.....	48
Box 2.2.	Factors influencing biodiversity offset activity	57
Box 3.1.	Biodiversity offsets delivered voluntarily and as conditions of financial lending approval	74
Box 4.1.	Risk-based regulatory pathways for Native Vegetation Regulation in Victoria, Australia.....	86
Box 4.2.	Reforming the location of compensation projects in US compensatory wetlands mitigation	95
Box 4.3.	Mitigating delivery risk with offset replacement ratios and financial products.....	98
Box 4.4.	Securing land for biodiversity offsets in the Western Cape Province, South Africa.....	103
Box 4.5.	Input and performance-based agreements for biodiversity offsets.....	106
Box 4.6.	Contingent payments to increase the compliance rates of offset suppliers.....	112
Box 5.1.	Agriculture, wetlands regulation and compensation.....	135
Box 5.2.	Different forms of compensatory mitigation in the United States' Clean Water Act Section 404 permit system	138
Box 5.3.	Section 404 permits	139
Box 5.4.	Functional assessment of wetland condition in Ohio using the ORAM.....	144
Box 5.5.	Interactions with other programmes	151
Box 5.6.	2001 National Research Council evaluation of the US Wetlands Compensation programme.....	152
Box 7.1.	Reference costs used in the calculation of in-lieu compensation fee	205