

Contents

Introduction	1
1.1 The study of biotic interactions in nature	1
1.2 Criticism as to the importance of interspecific competition	2
1.3 Tits to the rescue	4
1.4 The paradox of competition as illustrated by Kluijver and Lack	8
1.5 The conflict on the importance of interspecific competition in North America	11
1.6 Conclusions	11
2 Definitions, models, and how to measure the existence of interspecific competition	13
2.1 Definitions: effects on individuals or populations?	13
2.2 Models and equations: logistic, theta logistic, and Lotka-Volterra	16
2.3 Conclusions	22
2.4 The structure of the rest of the book	24
3 Space as a limiting resource	25
3.1 Introduction	25
3.2 The Buffer Hypothesis was developed from studies of tit populations and is probably generally important	25
3.3 Winter social organization determines when space is limiting	28
3.4 Interspecific territoriality	34
3.5 Conclusions	37
4 Food as a limiting resource	39
4.1 Introduction	39
4.2 The classical case of beech mast: correlation is not causation	40
4.3 Experimental evidence that food does actually influence winter survival or the size of the following breeding population	42

4.4	Behavioural responses to winter cold and predation risk: costs and benefits of flocking	49
4.5	Individual responses to managing body fat reserves in the context of food availability and predator presence	50
4.6	Pre-breeding food supplementation effects on reproduction	55
4.7	Food manipulations during the breeding season	57
4.8	Predation by birds and other taxa can reduce food availability and thus have indirect effects	63
4.9	Food supplementation experiments as a conservation tool	65
4.10	Conclusions	66
5	Nest sites as a limiting resource	69
5.1	Are nest sites limiting in cup-nesting species?	69
5.2	Are cavities limiting for cavity nesters?	72
5.3	Are cavities in natural forests superabundant?	73
5.4	Studies of nest web communities	77
5.5	Conclusions	80
6	The effect of intraspecific competition on population processes	83
6.1	Intraspecific competition seems to be generally important in birds	83
6.2	Case studies show variation in what processes are affected by density-dependence	84
6.3	Density-dependence in introduced populations	91
6.4	Mechanisms resulting in density-dependence: the importance of habitat heterogeneity	92
6.5	Density-dependence in titmice	94
6.6	Conclusion	101
7	Studies of foraging niches and food	103
7.1	The early studies of foraging behaviour emphasized differences between species	104
7.2	In the 1970s observational arguments were used to document the existence of interspecific competition. These arguments only convinced the believers	105
7.3	Field and cage experiments provided conclusive evidence as to the effect of interspecific interactions on the foraging niches used	108

7.4	Measures of fitness-related traits are needed, however, to prove the existence of interspecific competition	109
7.5	The story of the coal tit on Gotland: alternative explanations can be right	111
7.6	Altitudinal replacement of closely related species	113
7.7	Seasonal variation in niche overlap	114
7.8	Effects of migrants on residents	115
7.9	Conclusions	116

8 Field experiments to test the existence and effects of interspecific competition 117

8.1	Effect of manipulation of cavities available on reproductive or foraging success of presumed competitors (Table 8.1)	119
8.2	Effect of resource manipulation on population size of presumed competitors: effects on single species (Table 8.2)	125
8.3	Studies of communities of cavity nesters: experiments in which natural cavities were blocked or nest-boxes added generated a diversity of results (Table 8.3)	128
8.4	Interactions between cavity and open nesters: does adding nest-boxes influence the density of open-nesting species? (Table 8.4)	135
8.5	Effects of direct removals on habitat use and population size of subordinate species (Table 8.5)	139
8.6	Competitive interactions between birds and species of a different class	145
8.7	Competition between burrow-nesting seabirds can have a severe impact on numbers: application of our understanding of interspecific competition for conservation (Table 8.9)	156
8.8	Heterospecific aggression and interspecific territories	158
8.9	Heterospecific attraction	160
8.10	Conclusions	168

9 Long-term experiments on competition between great and blue tit 171

9.1	Interspecific competition in tits: the origin of the idea	172
9.2	Is winter competition between great and blue tit for roosting sites only, for food only, or for both resources?	176
9.3	Experimental manipulations to vary the intensity of intra- and of interspecific competition	179
9.4	Effects of intra- and interspecific competition on blue tit density and demographic variables	182

9.5	Effect of intra- and interspecific competition on great tit density and demographic variables	190
9.6	How similar are the results of experimental and correlational studies?	192
9.7	Density and dispersal	193
9.8	What have we learned about competition between blue and great tit?	196
9.9	Concluding comments	200
10	Evolutionary effects of interspecific competition	203
10.1	Ecological character release and the Niche Variation Hypothesis	203
10.2	Testing the criteria for ecological character release	206
10.3	How rapidly can interspecific competition cause evolutionary changes in morphology? Observational data	211
10.4	How rapidly can interspecific competition cause evolutionary changes in morphology? Experimental data on selection pressures and evolutionary change	213
10.5	Community composition and interspecific competition	216
10.6	Interspecific competition and life-history traits	217
10.7	Conclusions	221
11	Concluding thoughts	225
	Appendix 1—Common and scientific names of bird species mentioned in the text	229
	Appendix 2—Common and scientific names of other species mentioned in the text	233
	Appendix 3—Detailed results of analyses summarized in Chapter 9. All pertain to the Ghent and Antwerp study sites in Belgium	234
	References	245
	Index	275