

Contents

About the Author	xix
Preface	xxi
1. Introduction	1
1.1 Origins and Early Uses of the Term "Molecular Biology"	1
1.2 What Is Molecular Biology?	3
1.2.1 Fundamental Tenets of Molecular Biology	3
1.2.2 Prevalence and Continued Success of Molecular Biology	4
1.3 Further Reading	5
1.3.1 General History of Molecular Biology	5
1.3.2 Monographs, Festschriften, Autobiographies, and Biographies	6
1.3.3 Articles on Different Facets of Molecular Biology	7
References	8
2. Prehistory of Molecular Biology: 1848–1944	13
2.1 Discovery of the Cell Nucleus and Protoplasm and the Formulation of the Cell Theory	14
2.2 Chromatin, Chromosomes, and Mitosis Discovered	15
2.3 The Hoppe-Seyler School of Physiological Chemistry: Incubator to the Discovery of Nucleic Acids	18
2.3.1 1871: Isolation of Nuclein by Friedrich Miescher	20
2.3.2 Publication of the Discovery of Nuclein	21
2.3.3 Expanding His Studies of Nuclein, Miescher Came Up With a New Theory on Its Function	22
2.3.4 After an Initial Controversy, Nuclein Was Verified as a Distinct Chemical Entity	24
2.3.5 Miescher's Later Work in Basel	24
2.3.6 Early Identification and Isolation of Purines	25
2.3.7 The Chemistry of Purines and Pyrimidines Defined: The Work of Albrecht Kossel	25
2.3.8 Kossel's "Bausteine" Concept of the Building Blocks of Nucleic Acids and of Proteins	27
2.3.9 Kossel's Later Years	29
2.4 Unraveling the Chemistry of Nucleic Acids—The Work of Phoebus Levene	30
2.4.1 Levene Identified the Base, Pentose, and Phosphorous Components of Nucleotides in RNA and in DNA	32

2.4.2	The Mode of Linkage Between Nucleotides in RNA and DNA Was Debated	32
2.4.3	Levene's Tetranucleotide Hypothesis of the Structure of Nucleic Acid Persisted for Decades Despite Accumulated Contradicting Evidence	33
	References	37
3.	Avery, MacLeod, and McCarty Identified DNA as the Genetic Material	41
3.1	Pneumonia—"The Captain of the Men of Death"	43
3.1.1	Epidemiology of Pneumonia	43
3.1.2	The Causative Agent— <i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i>	45
3.1.3	The <i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i> Capsule	46
3.2	From a Clinical Observation to the Discovery of Bacterial Transformation: The Work of Frederick Griffith	49
3.2.1	Griffith's Initial Clinical Observation	49
3.2.2	Demonstration of the Conversion of <i>Pneumococcus</i> Serotype in Mice	49
3.2.3	Isolation of Capsule-Free Rough Strains of <i>Pneumococcus</i>	51
3.2.4	Griffith's Decisive Experiment: The Discovery of Bacterial Transformation	52
3.2.5	Griffith's Interpretation of Rough to Smooth Transformation	55
3.2.6	Epilogue: Griffith's Personality, Scientific Style, and Work Environment	57
3.3	Transformation Was Promptly Confirmed	58
3.4	Establishment of an In Vitro Transformation System Offered a First Glimpse of the Transforming Principle	59
3.4.1	Dawson and Sia Transformed Pneumococci In Vitro	59
3.4.2	Lionel Alloway Isolated a Soluble Cell-Free Transforming Fraction	62
3.5	The Latent Period: No Published Results Between Alloway's 1933 Report and the 1944 Announcement That the Transforming Principle Was DNA	66
3.5.1	Avery's Personal Difficulties	67
3.5.2	Identification of the Chemical Nature of the Transforming Principle Was Not a Priority for Avery	67
3.5.3	The In Vitro Transformation System Needed Improvements	68
3.5.4	1938–40: Little or No Work on Transformation	68
3.5.5	1940–41: MacLeod Returned to Transformation	68
3.6	Enters McCarty: Critical Experiments Identified the Transforming Principle as DNA	70
3.6.1	Accumulating Evidence Indicated That the Transforming Substance Was DNA	71

3.6.2	Avery Stated in a 1943 Internal Report That the Transforming Material Was DNA	72
3.6.3	Avery Summarized His Findings and Ideas in a Letter to His Brother Roy	73
3.6.4	Purification and Characterization of Potent Transforming Material	75
3.6.5	Active Transforming Principle Could Be Isolated From Transformed Cells	75
3.6.6	Despite It All, Avery Was Undecided Whether or Not the Transforming Material Was Truly DNA	75
3.7	1944: DNA Was Formally Proclaimed to Be the Transforming Material	77
3.8	The Avery, MacLeod, and McCarty Landmark 1944 Paper	78
3.8.1	Stated Objective	78
3.8.2	Methodology	78
3.8.3	Experimental Results	79
3.8.4	Interpretation of the Experimental Results	81
3.9	Reception of the Avery, MacLeod, and McCarty Paper	83
3.9.1	Mirsky Questioned the Claim That the Transforming Material Was DNA	84
3.9.2	The Evidence Convinced Other Scholars That the Transforming Agent Was DNA	87
3.9.3	Some Scientists Did Grasp the Broader Significance of the Avery, MacLeod, and McCarty Discovery	87
3.9.4	Scholars Raised Different Ideas on the Possible Mode of Action of the Transforming DNA	88
3.10	Multiple Factors Contributed to the Belated Recognition of the Significance of the Discovery of Avery, MacLeod, and McCarty	91
3.10.1	Disconnection Between the Disciplines of Genetics and Biochemistry	91
3.10.2	A Misleading Preconception: Protein, and Not DNA, Was Thought to Be the Carrier of Genetic Information	92
3.10.3	Mirsky's Criticism	93
3.10.4	Pneumococcal Transformation Was a Difficult Experimental System	94
3.10.5	Some Viewed Pneumococcal Transformation to Be a Private Case of Limited Significance	94
3.10.6	Inopportune Timing	95
3.10.7	Avery's Reticent Personality	96
3.11	The Scientific Establishment Was Ambivalent About the Importance of Avery's Discovery	97
3.12	After 1944: Additional Experiments Strengthened the Case for DNA as the Transforming Agent	98
3.12.1	McCarty and Avery's Added Experiments	99
3.12.2	Hotchkiss' Experiment: The Amount of Protein in the Transforming DNA Was Negligible	100
3.12.3	Boivin's Claimed Transformation of <i>E. coli</i> by Purified DNA	102

3.13	Epilogue: Avery's Legacy	103
3.13.1	Avery's Distinctive Scientific Style and Personality	103
3.13.2	The Scientific Community Held Avery in High Esteem	104
3.13.3	Avery Was Celebrated by Rockefeller University	104
3.13.4	Avery Nurtured an Exceptionally Distinguished Scientific Progeny	105
	References	106
4.	Hershey and Chase Clinched the Role of DNA as the Genetic Material	111
4.1	The Latent Period 1944–52: Although DNA Was Identified as the Pneumococcal-Transforming Factor It Was Not Universally Recognized as the Genetic Material	112
4.2	The Discovery of Bacterial Viruses	113
4.2.1	Frederick Twort Discovered in 1915 a Transferable "Bacteriolytic Agent"	113
4.2.2	Felix d'Herelle's Independent 1917 Discovery of Bacteriophages	115
4.3	Introduction of Bacteriophage as Model System	117
4.3.1	Max Delbrück Pioneered the Use of Phage as the Simplest System to Investigate Basic Principles of Biology	117
4.3.2	Establishment of the Phage Group	119
4.4	Luria and Anderson Had a First Glimpse of Bacteria-Infecting Phage	120
4.5	The Luria–Delbrück Experiment	120
4.6	DNA Was Assigned Only a Secondary Role in Early Thinking on the Chemical Nature of Phage Genetic Material	121
4.7	Despite His Active Studies on Phage Genetics, Hershey Showed at First Only Tacit Interest in the Chemical Nature of the Genetic Material	123
4.8	First Step Toward the Identification of DNA as the Genetic Material of Phages: Virus Killing by Radioactive Phosphorous Implied a Vital Role for Nucleic Acids	124
4.9	Laying the Groundwork for the Hershey and Chase Experiment: Phage DNA and Protein Were Shown to be Separable	124
4.9.1	DNA Was Evicted From Osmotically Shocked Phages Leaving Behind DNA-Free "Ghosts"	124
4.9.2	Roger Herriot Astutely Hypothesized That by Acting as a Hypodermic Needle, the Phage Injected Its DNA Into the Infected Bacterium	126
4.10	The Hershey and Chase Experiment Identified DNA as the Genetic Material of Phage	127
4.10.1	Demonstration of the Separability of the Phage DNA From Its Protein Coat	127

4.10.2	The Hershey and Chase Blender Experiment Suggested That the Phage Genetic Material Was Its DNA	130
4.10.3	The Problem of Residual Protein in Progeny Phages Was Solved Years After DNA Had Already Been Universally Recognized as the Genetic Material of Phage	135
4.11	Early Reaction to the Hershey and Chase Results	136
4.12	The Contributions of Avery, MacLeod, and McCarty, of Hershey and Chase, and of Watson and Crick to the Identification of DNA as the Genetic Material	138
4.13	Epilogue: Hershey's Peculiar Late Version of the Source of His Experiment With Chase	139
	References	140
5.	Discovery of the Structure of DNA	143
5.1	Early History of X-Ray Crystallography	147
5.1.1	The Discovery of X-Radiation by Wilhelm Röntgen	149
5.1.2	Max von Laue's Discovery of X-Ray Diffraction	151
5.1.3	The Contribution of William Henry and William Lawrence Bragg to the Analysis of Crystal Structure by X-Ray Diffraction	153
5.1.4	Application of Mathematical Tools Was Necessary to Reconstruct the Atomic Structure of Materials From Their Patterns of X-Ray Diffraction	156
5.2	The Emergence of X-Ray Crystallography of Biological Molecules	158
5.2.1	Diffraction of X-Rays in Fibers	158
5.2.2	Early X-Ray Analyses of the Atomic Structure of Fibers	158
5.2.3	The British School of X-Ray Crystallography of Biomolecules	159
5.3	Early Studies of Diffraction of X-Rays by DNA Fibers	174
5.3.1	X-Ray Diffraction by Helical Fibers	175
5.3.2	Astbury Pioneered X-Ray Diffraction Analysis of the Structure of DNA Fibers	176
5.3.3	Sven Furberg's Proposal of Helical DNA	182
5.4	Erwin Chargaff's Discovery of Base Complementarity in DNA	182
5.5	Studies at King's College on the Diffraction of X-Rays in DNA Fibers	189
5.5.1	Wilkins' Early Study of X-Ray Diffraction by DNA Fibers	189
5.5.2	Rosalind Franklin and the Discovery of Humidity-Dependent Forms A and B of DNA	193
5.6	First Models of DNA Were Built by Watson and Crick and by Linus Pauling	203
5.6.1	Watson and Crick—An Unlikely Team of "an Adolescent Postdoc and an Elderly Graduate Student"	203

5.6.2	Watson and Crick's Initial Three-Strand Model of DNA	208
5.6.3	Linus Pauling's Attempt to Solve the Structure of DNA	210
5.6.4	Back in Cambridge: Watson and Crick's Auspicious Meeting With Chargaff	218
5.6.5	Pauling's Triple Helix Model of DNA Reached Cambridge	221
5.6.6	Photo 51 Was Shown to Watson	221
5.6.7	Bragg Gave Watson and Crick a Green Light to Return to DNA	224
5.6.8	Another Crucial Piece of Experimental Evidence Reached Watson and Crick	224
5.6.9	Back to the Model: How Should the Bases Be Positioned at the Center of the Helix?	225
5.6.10	Learning of Base Tautomers, Watson Came Up With Purine–Pyrimidine Base Pairing	227
5.6.11	Building a Double Helix Model of DNA	228
5.6.12	The Double Helix Model of DNA Was Formally Announced	229
5.6.13	The Watson and Crick First Paper	229
5.7	Reception of the Double Helix Model of DNA	233
5.7.1	Pauling Accepted That Watson and Crick Had the Correct Model of DNA	233
5.7.2	Watson's Presentation of the Double Helix Model at Cold Spring Harbor	236
5.8	After the Discovery	237
5.8.1	Francis Crick	237
5.8.2	James Watson	238
5.8.3	Maurice Wilkins	238
5.8.4	Erwin Chargaff	238
5.8.5	Rosalind Franklin	239
	References	240
6.	Meselson and Stahl Proved That DNA Is Replicated in a Semiconservative Fashion	249
6.1	Theoretical Considerations Bred Three Competing Abstract Models of DNA Replication	250
6.1.1	Watson and Crick Proposed a Semiconservative Mode of Replication	250
6.1.2	Delbrück Offered an Alternative Dispersive Mechanism of Replication	253
6.1.3	David Bloch and John Butler Proposed Variant Models of Conservative Replication	257
6.2	Early Experimental Testing of the Alternative Theoretical Models of Replication	258
6.2.1	Gunther Stent's Experiments Yielded Inconclusive Results	258
6.2.2	An Experiment by Cyrus Levinthal Also Failed to Produce Decisive Conclusions	259

6.3	Herbert Taylor's Examination of Duplicating Chromosomes Was Consistent With a Semiconservative Mode of Replication	259
6.4	A Critical Experiment by Meselson and Stahl Provided a Practically Unequivocal Corroboration of the Semiconservative Model of Replication	261
6.4.1	Early Stages in the Evolution of the Experiment	263
6.4.2	An Ultimate Elegant Experiment Provided Nearly Unambiguous Evidence for Semiconservative Mode of DNA Replication	266
6.5	Alternative Interpretations of the Meselson and Stahl Results Were Refuted	266
6.6	Postscript: The Distinctive Status of the Meselson and Stahl Experiment	267
	References	268
7.	Defining the Genetic Code	271
7.1	Roles of Theory and Experiment in the Definition of the Genetic Code	272
7.2	Challenges of the Coding Problem	274
7.3	Roots of the Idea of Biological Information Transfer and of a Genetic Code	275
7.3.1	The Beadle and Tatum Experiment and Their "One Gene—One Enzyme" Edict	275
7.3.2	Early Ideas on the Storage and Transmission of Genetic Information	277
7.3.3	George Gamow's Idea of a Code Based on Stereochemical Fit Between Amino Acids and Crevices in DNA	280
7.3.4	Crick's Astute Criticism of Gamow's Hypothesis	284
7.4	RNA Assumed Center Stage	286
7.4.1	Alexander Dounce Proposed That RNA, and Not DNA, Functioned as the Direct Template for Protein Synthesis	286
7.4.2	Watson Was Also Focusing on RNA as the Bearer of the Genetic Code	287
7.4.3	Gamow Too Changed His Focus to RNA	288
7.5	Theory Predicted a Commaless Triplet-Based But Nondegenerate Code	289
7.6	Experimental Results Proved the Code to Be Nonoverlapping	292
7.6.1	Brenner's Quasi-Experimental Proof	293
7.6.2	Point Mutation in the RNA Genome of TMV Resulted in Substitution of a Single Amino Acid in the Encoded Protein	294
7.6.3	Study of the Mutant Sickle Cell Anemia Hemoglobin S Gave Credence to the Conclusion That the Code Was Nonoverlapping	298

7.7 The Code Was Experimentally Proven to Be a Sequence of Contiguous Triplet Codons	298
7.7.1 Theory Alone Was Not Sufficient to Reach Definitive Conclusions on the Composition and Organization of Codons	298
7.7.2 Laying the Groundwork for Defining the Code: I. Seymour Benzer Constructed a High-Resolution Map of the rII Locus of T4 Phage	298
7.7.3 Laying the Groundwork for Defining the Code: II. Generation of Deletion or Insertion Mutations by Acridine Dyes	302
7.7.4 By Employing the rII System and Acridine, Crick, Brenner, and Associates Conclusively Showed That the Genetic Code Consisted of Triplet Codons Arranged in Nonoverlapping and Commaless Sequence	305
7.8 Postscript: Place and Merit of Theory and Experiment in the Elucidation of the Nature of the Genetic Code	308
References	309
 8. The Adaptor Hypothesis and the Discovery of Transfer RNA	 313
8.1 The Early Days: Polymerization of Amino Acids Into Protein Was Believed to Be Catalyzed by Synthetic Reverse Action of Proteolytic Enzymes	315
8.1.1 First Misconception: Proteins Lack Definitive Sequence of Amino Acids	316
8.1.2 A Second Misconception: Proteins Are Synthesized by Reverse Synthetic Action of Proteolytic Enzymes	316
8.1.3 The Problem of the Required Energy for Protein Synthesis	317
8.2 Construction and Characterization of a Cell-Free Protein Synthesis System	318
8.2.1 Radioactively Labeled Amino Acids Were an Essential Tool for the Monitoring of Protein Synthesis In Vivo	319
8.2.2 Differential Centrifugation Was a Second Critical Tool in the Construction of a Cell-Free Protein Synthesis System	320
8.2.3 Philip Siekevitz Constructed the First Cell-Free Protein Synthesis System	321
8.2.4 Characterization of the In Vitro Protein Synthesis System	326
8.3 In the Meantime in Cambridge ... Crick Presciently Envisaged an Adaptor Molecule	331
8.3.1 Crick Precluded the Notion of Stereochemical Fit Between Amino Acids and Nucleic Acid Template	331
8.3.2 The Adaptor Hypothesis Explained How the Nucleotide-Based Language of RNA Could Be Translated Into the Amino Acid-Based Language of Proteins	332

8.3.3	Crick Speculated That the Adaptors Were Likely to Be Made of Nucleic Acid and That Specific Enzymes Charged Them With Amino Acids	333
8.3.4	The Adaptor Hypothesis Was Met With Initial Skepticism	334
8.4	Back in Boston ... Discovery of Amino Acid-Activating Enzymes and of the Actual RNA Adaptors	335
8.4.1	Discovery of Enzyme-Catalyzed Activation of Amino Acids	335
8.4.2	Isolation and Characterization of tRNA and Its Identification as the Acceptor for Activated Amino Acid	339
8.4.3	The Mode of Charging of Activated Amino Acids Onto tRNA Was Uncovered	343
8.4.4	Zamecnik's 1960 Model of the Path From Free Amino Acids to Their Incorporation Into Protein	345
8.4.5	Zamecnik and Hoagland, Discoverers of Activating Enzymes and of tRNA, and Crick, the Originator of the Adaptor Hypothesis, Finally Learned of Each Other's Attainments	346
8.5	The Coding Properties of tRNA Were Shown to Determine the Identity of Incorporated Amino Acids	348
8.6	Postscript: Back to Crick's Visionary View of the Adaptor as an Essential Nexus in the Flow of Genetic Information	351
	References	353

9.	The Discovery and Rediscovery of Prokaryotic Messenger RNA	357
9.1	Early Experiments With Giant <i>Acetabularia</i> Cells Demonstrated That Nucleus-Dictated Genetic Information Was Transmitted to and Expressed in the Cytoplasm	359
9.2	RNA Was Linked to Protein Synthesis	361
9.2.1	The Initial Phase: RNA Was Implicated in Protein Synthesis	361
9.2.2	The Discovery of Microsomes (and Ribosomes)	362
9.2.3	Ribosomes Were Conceived to Be Analogous to Small RNA Viruses	363
9.3	The Ribosome as Carrier of Genetic Information: Emergence of a "One Gene—One Ribosome—One Protein" Hypothesis	365
9.4	Accumulating Experimental Evidence Contradicted the "One Gene—One RNA—One Ribosome—One Protein" Hypothesis	366
9.4.1	Different Base Compositions of Cytoplasmic RNA and DNA	367
9.4.2	Set Sizes of Ribosomal RNA	369
9.4.3	Stability of Ribosomal RNA	369

9.5	Experiment Bred a New Theory: The Pajamo Experiment and the Hypothesis of an Unstable Messenger	371
9.6	A First Sighting of Rapidly Turning-Over RNA in Phage-Infected Cells	381
9.7	Rapidly Synthesized DNA-Like RNA Was Also Identified in Yeast Cells	383
9.8	Belief in Ribosomal RNA as the Carrier of Genetic Information Persisted In Spite of Contradictory Evidence and the Identification of DNA-Like RNA	383
9.8.1	Sucrose-Gradient Centrifugation	385
9.8.2	The Nomura, Hall, and Spiegelman Experiment	387
9.9	"The Penny Drops"—mRNA Replaced Ribosomal RNA as the Carrier of Genetic Information	389
9.10	Eureka Moment: Crick Drew a Theory of mRNA While Brenner and Jacob Designed Experiments for Its Detection	391
9.11	Brenner, Jacob, and Meselson Detected Labile RNA in Phage-Infected Cells	393
9.11.1	Facing Lackluster Reception of the Work, Brenner Added Experiments to Substantiate the Existence of Labile RNA in Phage-Infected Bacteria	395
9.11.2	Brenner, Jacob, and Meselson Were Unaware of a Parallel Study That Was Being Performed in Watson's Harvard Laboratory	396
9.12	The Harvard Group Identified a Minor Fraction of Rapidly Turning Over RNA in Uninfected Bacteria	399
9.13	Despite the Discovery of Ribosome-Associated Labile RNA, It Still Remained to be Shown That This RNA Was the Carrier of Information From DNA	401
9.13.1	Early History of DNA-DNA and DNA-RNA Hybridization	402
9.13.2	Hybridization Was Employed to Demonstrate Sequence Complementarity Between Phage RNA and Its DNA	405
9.13.3	Hayashi and Spiegelman Identified DNA-Complementing mRNA in Uninfected Bacteria	407
9.14	Phage and Bacterial Proteins, and Implicitly Their mRNA Were Shown to Be Collinear With Their DNA Genes	409
9.15	The Demonstrated Collinearity Between Prokaryotic Gene and Protein Bred a Misleading Preconception That Collinearity Was Universal in All the Living Organisms	413
9.16	Postscript: The Discovery of mRNA Was Driven by Experiment and Not by Theoretical Propositions	414
	References	415
10.	The Deciphering of the Genetic Code	421
10.1	A Crucial First Step: Construction of Cell-Free Protein Synthesis System from <i>Escherichia coli</i>	423
10.2	Marshall Nirenberg and His Supportive Scientific Environment at the NIH	425

10.3	The Early Phase: Defined Polynucleotide Sequence Dictated Incorporation of a Specific Amino Acid Into Protein in a Cell-Free System	428
10.3.1	Matthaei and Nirenberg Established Their First Assay System of RNA-Dependent Cell-Free Protein Synthesis	429
10.3.2	Synthetic Polyuridylic Acid Dictated Synthesis In Vitro of Polyphenylalanine	432
10.3.3	The News Quickly Spread That a Defined Nucleotide Sequence Dictated Polymerization of a Specific Amino Acid	436
10.3.4	Poly(U)-Directed Synthesis of Polyphenylalanine Involved Charging of Phenylalanine Onto Its Specific tRNA and Its Transfer to a Growing Polypeptide	438
10.3.5	A Race Was Opened to Complete the Decryption of the Code	440
10.3.6	Translation of Synthetic Polyribonucleotide Templates Revealed the Composition but Not the Sequence of Code Words	441
10.4	The Second Phase: The Nirenberg Team Determined the Base Sequences of All 64 Codons	447
10.4.1	Synthesis of RNA Triplets of Defined Base Sequence	447
10.4.2	A Filter-Binding Assay Enabled the Determination of the Amino Acid-Coding Specificities of All the 64 Codons	449
10.4.3	The Filter-Binding Assay Provided Direct Demonstration of the Degeneracy of the Code	453
10.4.4	1964-66: The Nirenberg Group Completed the Deciphering of the Code	455
10.4.5	The Deciphered Code Raised New Questions	457
10.5	Nirenberg's Style of Doing Science and His Personal Demeanor	458
10.6	The Independent Decryption of the Code by the Master Bioorganic Chemist Har Gobind Khorana	461
10.6.1	Khorana's Stepwise Synthesis of Coding Polyribonucleotides	463
10.6.2	The Coding Problem Seized: Determination of the Amino Acid Composition of Peptides Translated In Vitro From Synthetic Polyribonucleotide Templates	466
10.6.3	Khorana's Crowning Achievement: Decryption of the Highly Degenerate Code and Assignment of Codons for All the 20 Amino Acids	469
10.7	After Breaking the Code, Khorana Undertook New Difficult Challenges	471
10.8	Postscript: The Unsolved Questions of the Origin of the Code and of the Evolution of the Translation Machinery	472
	References	473

11. The Surprising Discovery of Split Genes and of RNA Splicing	481
11.1 First Clue: Most of the Nuclear RNA Broke Down in the Nucleus Without Ever Reaching the Cytoplasm	483
11.2 A Second Finding: Giant Molecules of Unstable RNA Were Detected in the Nucleus	486
11.3 First Hints That the Labile Giant Nuclear RNA May Be Related to Messenger RNA	491
11.4 Relationship of Polysome-Associated mRNA to hnRNA	493
11.4.1 Cytoplasmic mRNA Detected	493
11.4.2 Emerging Hints of Precursor—Product Relationship Between hnRNA and mRNA	494
11.5 Conserved Tags at the 3'- and 5'-Ends of hnRNA and of mRNA Substantiated Their Precursor—Product Relationship	495
11.5.1 Both hnRNA and mRNA in Animal Cells Were Found to Have Tracts of Polyadenylic Acid at Their 3'-Ends	495
11.5.2 The Plot Thickened: Both hnRNA and mRNA in Animal Cells Were Found to Have a Cap Structure at Their 5'-Ends	500
11.5.3 A Puzzle Surfaced: Both hnRNA and mRNA Had Caps and Poly(A) Tracts at Their Respective 5'- and 3'-Ends and yet mRNA Was Several Folds Shorter Than hnRNA	504
11.6 Split Genes Discovered: Adenovirus mRNA Was Found to Be NonCollinear With the Transcribed Gene	505
11.6.1 Structure of Adenovirus and the Transcription of Its DNA	506
11.6.2 Restriction Fragments of Adenovirus DNA Were Essential Tools in the Discovery of Split Genes and RNA Splicing	507
11.6.3 Electron Microscopy of Nucleic Acids Was Another Crucial Tool in the Discovery of the Noncollinearity of Adenovirus mRNA	508
11.6.4 Discovery of Split Adenovirus Genes and of Their Noncollinear mRNA	511
11.7 Postscript: Why Did Theory Fail to Predict Splicing?	515
References	517
12. Postscript: On the Place of Theory and Experiment in Molecular Biology	523
12.1 Three Cases Illustrate That the Power of Theory Diminishes With Increased Complexity of an Investigated Problem	523
12.2 Semiconservative DNA Replication	524
12.3 Definition and Deciphering of the Genetic Code	525
12.4 Identification and Detection of Prokaryotic mRNA	526
12.5 The Complexity of Biology Constrains the Power of Theory	528
References	529
Index	533