

Content

List of Contributing Authors — V

J. Wolfgang Wägele and Thomas Bartolomaeus

1 Introduction — 1

Part I: New Data and Phylogenies

Gert Wörheide, Tetyana Nosenko, Fabian Schreiber, and Burkhard Morgenstern

2 Progress and perspectives of the deep non-bilaterian phylogeny, with focus on sponges (Phylum Porifera) — 9

2.1 Introduction — 9

2.2 The challenge of reconstructing non-bilaterian relationships — 10

2.2.1 Some issues to consider when reconstructing deep metazoan phylogeny — 12

2.2.2 Are sponges paraphyletic (or monophyletic after all), and why is this important? — 17

2.3 Conclusions and outlook — 20

Michael Eitel, Wolfgang Jakob, Hans-Jürgen Osigus, Omid Paknia, Karolin von der Chevallerie, Tjard Bergmann, and Bernd Schierwater

3 Phylogenetics and phylogenomics at the root of the Metazoa — 23

3.1 Introduction — 23

3.2 Project data — 26

3.2.1 ANTP superclass genes — 26

3.2.2 Intra-phylum relationships in Cnidaria — 36

3.2.3 Systematic composition of the phylum Placozoa using mitochondrial genomes — 36

3.2.4 Enlarged nuclear data sets to infer inter- and intra-phylum relationships — 39

3.2.5 Studying placozoan development to identify early metazoan traits — 41

3.2.6 Total evidence analysis — 44

3.2.7 Conclusions — 45

Yvan Perez, Carsten H.G. Müller, and Steffen Harzsch

- 4 The Chaetognatha: An anarchistic taxon between Protostomia and Deuterostomia — 49**
 - 4.1 Who are the Chaetognatha? — 49
 - 4.2 Phylogenetic relationships and insights from molecular approaches — 51
 - 4.2.1 Single gene analysis and total evidence approach — 52
 - 4.2.1.1 Nuclear ribosomal genes — 52
 - 4.2.1.2 Intermediate filaments — 53
 - 4.2.1.3 Tropomyosin — 53
 - 4.2.2 Multiple gene analysis and phylogenomics — 54
 - 4.3 Peculiarities of *Hox* genes, the mitochondrial genome, and a transcriptome — 55
 - 4.4 Unusual features: The role of morphology in our understanding of chaetognath phylogeny — 56
 - 4.5 Unique features of chaetognath development — 57
 - 4.6 Integument: multilayered epidermis and intra- and basiepidermal plexus — 60
 - 4.7 Muscle ultrastructure and neuromuscular innervation — 62
 - 4.8 The visual system — 66
 - 4.9 The nervous system — 68
 - 4.9.1 The ventral nerve center and individually identifiable neurons — 68
 - 4.9.2 *The cephalic nervous system* — 71
 - 4.9.3 Brain structure and development in chaetognaths, stomatogastric innervation and phylogenetic considerations — 73
 - 4.10 Conclusion: Chaetognatha on the playground of metazoan evolution — 74

Rudi Loesel

- 5 Brain complexity in protostomes — 79**
 - 5.1 Introduction — 79
 - 5.2 Arthropoda — 79
 - 5.3 Annelida — 83
 - 5.4 Nemertea — 85
 - 5.5 Mollusca — 86

Andreas Schmidt-Rhaesa and Birgen Holger Rothe

- 6 Brains in Gastrotricha and Cycloneuralia – a comparison — 93**
 - 6.1 Introduction — 93
 - 6.2 Phylogenetic background — 93
 - 6.3 Brain organization in Cycloneuralia and Gastrotricha — 95
 - 6.3.1 Nematoda — 96

- 6.3.2 Nematomorpha — 97
- 6.3.3 Priapulida — 97
- 6.3.4 Kinorhyncha — 98
- 6.3.5 Loricifera — 99
- 6.3.6 Gastrotricha — 99
- 6.4 Functional aspects of the cycloneuralian brain — 102
- 6.5 Conclusions, comparison within protostomes and evolutionary scenarios — 103
- 6.5.1 Are Cycloneuralia monophyletic? — 103
- 6.5.2 How are cycloneuralian taxa related to Arthropoda? — 103
- 6.5.3 Are Gastrotricha related to cycloneuralian taxa or to Ecdysozoa? — 104
- 6.5.4 Conclusions — 104

Thomas Hankeln, Alexandra R. Wey-Fabrizius, Holger Herlyn, Alexander Witek, Mathias Weber, Maximilian P. Nesnidal, and Torsten H. Struck

- 7 Phylogeny of platyzoan taxa based on molecular data — 105**
- 7.1 Introduction — 105
- 7.2 The phylogenetic position of Platyhelminthes — 109
- 7.3 Gastrotricha: Phylogenetic case study using four genes — 111
- 7.4 The Gnathifera concept: Support from phylogenomic data — 117
- 7.4.1 Phylogenomics support monophyletic Syndermata and paraphyletic "Rotifera" — 118
- 7.4.2 Phylogeny of Acanthocephala – from mitochondrial genes to morphology — 121
- 7.5 Hypothetical Platyzoa and the long-branch problem — 123

Maximilian P. Nesnidal, Martin Helmkamp, Iris Bruchhaus, Ingo Ebersberger, and Bernhard Hausdorf

- 8 Lophophorata monophyletic – after all — 127**
- 8.1 Introduction — 127
- 8.2 Materials and Methods — 130
- 8.2.1 Data sources and orthology assignment — 130
- 8.2.2 Alignment, alignment masking and protein selection — 131
- 8.2.3 Phylogenetic analyses — 132
- 8.2.4 Influence of compositional heterogeneity among lineages on the phylogenetic analyses — 132
- 8.3 Results and Discussion — 133
- 8.3.1 Deuterostome versus protostome relationships of the lophophorate lineages — 133
- 8.3.2 Monophyly of Lophophorata and Ectoprocta+Phoronida — 138
- 8.3.3 Phylogenetic relationships within Ectoprocta — 141

Torsten H. Struck, Günter Purschke, Janina Dordel, Christoph Hösel, Maximilian P. Nesnidal, Frauke Diersing, Christoph Bleidorn, Christiane Paul, Natascha Hill, Ralph Tiedemann, Joachim Selbig, and Stefanie Hartmann

- 9 Phylogeny and evolution of Annelida based on molecular data — 143**
 - 9.1 Introduction — 143
 - 9.2 Phylogenetic analyses of Annelida using targeted genes — 149
 - 9.3 Phylogenomic analyses of Annelida — 153
 - 9.4 Gene structure data as phylogenetic markers — 155
 - 9.5 Evolution of Annelida — 158

Christoph Bleidorn, Conrad Helm, Anne Weigert, Igor Eeckhaut, Deborah Lanterbecq, Torsten H. Struck, Stefanie Hartmann, and Ralph Tiedemann

- 10 From morphology to phylogenomics: Placing the enigmatic Myzostomida in the tree of life — 161**
 - 10.1 From Leuckart to Nansen – discovery and early classification of Myzostomida — 161
 - 10.2 Biology of Myzostomida — 163
 - 10.3 Cladistic analyses of morphological and molecular data – setting up a controversy — 164
 - 10.4 Phylogenomics and rare genomic changes – phylogenetic analyses of long-branched taxa — 165
 - 10.5 Morphological and evolutionary developmental studies of myzostomids – towards understanding the evolution of a highly adapted body plan — 169
 - 10.6 Integration of molecules and morphology to place an enigmatic animal taxon — 172

Markus Koch, Björn Quast, and Thomas Bartolomaeus

- 11 Coeloms and nephridia in annelids and arthropods — 173**
 - 11.1 Introduction — 173
 - 11.2 Mesoderm, muscle cells and body cavities – definition of terms — 175
 - 11.2.1 Extracellular matrix — 176
 - 11.2.2 Ectoderm, entoderm and mesoderm — 176
 - 11.2.3 Mesodermal body cavities — 177
 - 11.2.4 Filtration nephridia — 178
 - 11.2.5 Muscular cells and coelomic lining cells — 179
 - 11.3 Methodological challenges — 179
 - 11.4 Body cavities and nephridia in Annelida — 183
 - 11.4.1 Coelomic lining in Annelida — 186
 - 11.4.2 Formation of the coelomic lining and coelomogenesis — 195
 - 11.4.2.1 Coelomogenesis in premetamorphic stages — 197

11.4.2.2	Coelomogenesis in postmetamorphic stages —	199
11.4.2.3	Coelomogenesis in clitellate embryos —	205
11.4.2.4	Comparative evaluation —	207
11.4.2.5	Conclusions —	210
11.4.3	Nephridia and nephridiogenesis —	211
11.4.3.1	Nephridia —	211
11.4.3.2	Nephridiogenesis —	213
11.4.3.3	Conclusion —	221
11.4.4	Summary —	222
11.5	Arthropoda —	223
11.5.1	Occurrence and fate of transient coeloms —	224
11.5.1.1	Pycnogonida —	224
11.5.1.2	(Eu-) Chelicerata —	227
11.5.1.3	Crustacea —	247
11.5.1.4	Hexapoda —	253
11.5.1.5	Myriapoda —	264
11.5.2	Function of embryonic coeloms in arthropods —	265
11.5.3	Summary and conclusions on the evolution of arthropod coeloms —	268
11.6	Onychophora and the problem of polarizing ancestral developmental modes in Panarthropoda —	271
11.7	Conclusions —	278

J. Wolfgang Wägele and Patrick Kück

12	Arthropod phylogeny and the origin of Tracheata (= Atelocerata) from Remipedia-like ancestors —	285
12.1	Introduction —	285
12.2	Avoidance of misconceptions —	286
12.2.1	Phylogenies obtained from different genes are not independent evidence —	286
12.2.2	Adaptation is no argument against homology —	286
12.2.3	Co-occurrence of characters increases the probability of homology —	287
12.2.4	Variation is no argument against homology —	287
12.3	Early arthropod evolution —	288
12.3.1	What are arthropods? —	288
12.3.2	Early steps in arthropod evolution —	289
12.3.3	Evolution of the first euarthropod —	290
12.3.4	Chelicerata and Mandibulata —	292
12.3.4.1	The origin of Chelicerata —	292
12.3.4.2	The origin of Mandibulata —	293
12.3.5	Phylogeny within primarily marine Mandibulata (crustaceans) —	295

12.4	The Tracheata hypothesis — 298
12.4.1	Molecular evidence for the placement of myriapods — 299
12.4.2	Molecular evidence for the placement of Hexapoda — 300
12.4.3	Taxon-slippage: Evolutionary processes can produce sequence patterns that break up the clade Tracheata — 302
12.4.4	Are there morphological apomorphies of Pancrustacea (=Tetraconata) primarily absent in Myriapoda? — 307
12.4.5	Putative derived homologies occurring in insects and myriapods (Tracheata) — 313
12.4.6	Taxonomic consequences: Caudoabdicata and Archilabiata — 329
12.4.7	Fossil record and the implausibility of a Cambrian origin of Myriapoda — 329
12.5	A plausible scenario: Remipedia as last living marine relatives of Tracheata — 330
12.6	Discussion — 337
12.6.1	Molecules — 337
12.6.2	Morphology — 339
12.6.3	Evolutionary scenarios — 339

Sabrina Simon and Heike Hadrys

13	Phylogeny of the most species-rich group on Earth, the Pterygota: Ancient problems, living hypotheses and bridging gaps — 343
13.1	Introduction — 343
13.1.1	Pterygote phylogeny: Ancient problems, living hypotheses — 344
13.1.1.1	The basal pterygote divergence or the never-ending "Palaeoptera problem"? — 344
13.1.1.2	The polyneopteran relationships — 346
13.1.1.3	Paraneoptera and Holometabola — 348
13.1.2	Systematic studies in the era of phylogenomics — 349
13.2	Molecular systematic studies to infer pterygote evolution — 351
13.2.1	Single-gene analyses — 351
13.2.2	Nuclear rRNA genes — 352
13.2.3	Mitogenomics — 353
13.2.4	EST projects of five enigmatic taxa – the phylogenomic approach — 354
13.2.5	Bridging gaps: New target genes for the analysis of gene organization, function and morphology — 358
13.3	Conclusion — 358

Martin E.J. Stegner, Martin Fritsch, and Stefan Richter

14	The central complex in Crustacea — 361
14.1	Introduction — 361
14.2	Definitions — 364
14.2.1	Protocerebral bridge — 364
14.2.2	Central body — 365
14.2.3	Lateral accessory lobes — 365
14.2.4	PB-CB tracts — 365
14.2.5	Immunoreactive domains — 365
14.3	Results — 367
14.3.1	Cephalocarida — 367
14.3.2	Mystacocarida — 368
14.3.3	Malacostraca — 369
14.3.4	Branchiopoda — 370
14.3.4.1	Anostraca — 370
14.3.4.2	Notostraca — 371
14.3.4.3	Laevicaudata, Spinicaudata and Cyclestherida ("Conchostraca") — 371
14.3.4.4	Cladocera — 372
14.3.4.5	Branchiopod central complex — 373
14.3.5	Copepoda — 374
14.3.6	Ostracoda — 374
14.3.7	Branchiura — 375
14.3.8	Cirripedia — 375
14.3.9	Remipedia — 375
14.4	Discussion — 376
14.4.1	Structural comparison — 376
14.4.1.1	Columnar neurons — 377
14.4.1.2	Decussation of columnar neurites — 377
14.4.1.3	Tangential neurons — 380
14.4.1.4	Innervation of SL-ir domains — 380
14.4.1.5	Horizontal layers of the central body — 381
14.4.1.6	Conclusion of structural comparison — 381
14.4.2	'Neurophylogeny' of Tetraconata — 381

Björn Marcus von Reumont and J. Wolfgang Wägele

- 15 Advances in molecular phylogeny of crustaceans in the light of phylogenomic data — 385**
- 15.1 The diverse and difficult crustaceans — 385
- 15.2 Are crustaceans monophyletic? — 387
- 15.3 Which is the crustacean sister-group to Hexapoda? — 389
- 15.4 Internal crustacean phylogeny and monophyly of higher crustacean taxa — 393
- 15.5 Promises and pitfalls of analyses of phylogenomic data — 395

Jason Dunlop, Janus Borner, and Thorsten Burmester

- 16 Phylogeny of the Chelicerates: Morphological and molecular evidence — 399**
- 16.1 Introduction — 399
- 16.2 Chelicerate origins: Mandibulata or Myriochelata? — 400
- 16.2.1 Evidence from the fossil record of chelicerates — 400
- 16.3 Chelicerate phylogeny — 401
- 16.3.1 Position of the sea spiders (Pycnogonida) — 401
- 16.3.2 Euchelicerata — 402
- 16.4 Arachnids: Conquerors of the land — 403
- 16.4.1 Are arachnids monophyletic? — 404
- 16.4.2 Tangled relationships: The arachnid groups — 405
- 16.4.3 Are Acari monophyletic and arachnids at all? — 407
- 16.4.4 Tetrapulmonata — 408
- 16.4.5 Araneae: The true spiders — 410
- 16.5 Dating chelicerate evolution — 410
- 16.6 Perspectives: Resolving the chelicerate tree — 411

Martin Schlegel, Michael Weidhase, and Peter F. Stadler

- 17 Deuterostome phylogeny – a molecular perspective — 413**
- 17.1 Introduction — 413
- 17.2 Deuterostome phylogeny — 414
- 17.3 Phylogeny of Ambulacraria — 416
- 17.3.1 Echinodermata — 416
- 17.3.2 Hemichordata — 418
- 17.4 Phylogeny of Chordata — 420
- 17.4.1 Cephalochordata — 422
- 17.4.2 Tunicata — 422
- 17.4.3 Vertebrata — 423
- 17.5 Outlook — 423

Thomas Stach

18 Deuterostome phylogeny – a morphological perspective — 425

- 18.1 Introduction — 425
- 18.1.1 Diversity fascinates, similarities inform — 425
- 18.1.2 Morphology improves phylogenetic studies — 428
- 18.1.3 Fossils — 430
- 18.1.4 Molecular evo-devo results as cladistic characters — 433
- 18.1.5 Comparative morphology of chordate “key characters” — 438
- 18.1.6 Tail tales — 438
- 18.1.7 Dorsal neural tube — 441
- 18.1.8 Resulting phylogenetic hypothesis — 443
- 18.1.9 Evolutionary scenario — 446

Lars Podsiadlowski, Adina Mwinyi, Peter Lesný, and Thomas Bartolomaeus

19 Mitochondrial gene order in Metazoa – theme and variations — 459

- 19.1 Introduction — 459
- 19.1.1 Mitochondrial genome structure — 459
- 19.1.2 Mechanisms of genome rearrangements — 460
- 19.1.3 Mitochondrial genomes in other eukaryotes — 461
- 19.2 Metazoan mitochondrial genomes — 461
- 19.2.1 The non-bilaterian taxa — 461
- 19.2.2 Basal splits of the Bilateria and some taxa with uncertain position — 462
 - 19.2.2.1 Chaetognatha — 464
 - 19.2.2.2 Acoela and Xenoturbellida — 464
- 19.2.3 Lophotrochozoa — 465
 - 19.2.3.1 Platyzoa — 465
 - 19.2.3.2 The lophophorate taxa and Entoprocta — 465
 - 19.2.3.3 Mollusca — 466
 - 19.2.3.4 Nemertea — 467
 - 19.2.3.5 Annelida (sensu lato) — 467
- 19.2.4 Ecdysozoa — 469
 - 19.2.4.1 Arthropoda — 469
 - 19.2.4.2 Onychophora and Tardigrada — 470
 - 19.2.4.3 Cycloneuralia — 470
- 19.2.5 Deuterostomia — 470
- 19.3 Conclusions — 471

Part II: New Tools and Methods

Peter Grobe and Lars Vogt

- 20 Documenting Morphology: Morph-D-Base — 475**
 - 20.1 Introduction — 475
 - 20.2 The role of morphology in the life sciences — 477
 - 20.3 Data and metadata in morphology — 478
 - 20.3.1 Media are not data, but important nonetheless — 479
 - 20.3.2 Phylogenetic character matrices are not morphological data either — 480
 - 20.4 Old problems and new challenges — 481
 - 20.4.1 The Linguistic Problem of Morphology — 481
 - 20.4.2 Data loss and data repositories — 482
 - 20.5 Modern standards of documentation and communication of data and metadata — 482
 - 20.6 Morph-D-Base: A modern data repository for morphology — 485
 - 20.6.1 Historical background — 485
 - 20.6.2 Types of entries in Morph-D-Base — 487
 - 20.6.2.1 Taxa — 487
 - 20.6.2.2 Specimens — 488
 - 20.6.2.3 Media — 488
 - 20.6.2.4 Literature — 490
 - 20.6.2.5 Character matrix — 490
 - 20.6.2.6 Linking contents: Internal and external cross-links — 492
 - 20.6.3 Accession rights and the citation of entries from Morph-D-Base — 494
 - 20.6.4 Interface design and usability of Morph-D-Base — 495
 - 20.6.4.1 The web interface: General Organization — 495
 - 20.6.4.2 The web interface: Creating and editing content — 496
 - 20.6.5 Further Development of Morph-D-Base — 499
 - 20.6.6 Technique — 500
 - 20.6.7 Similar Databases — 501
 - 20.7 Conclusions — 502

Rudi Loesel and Stefan Richter

- 21 Neurophylogeny – from description to character analysis — 505**
 - 21.1 History and concepts — 505
 - 21.2 Neuroanatomical characters and phylogenetic trees — 507
 - 21.3 The problem of terminology or "What is a brain?" — 509
 - 21.4 Conceptualizing characters and constructing a matrix — 511

Matthias Bernt, Daniel Merkle, Martin Middendorf, Bernd Schierwater, Martin Schlegel, and Peter F. Stadler

- 22 Computational methods for the analysis of mitochondrial genome rearrangements — 515**
 - 22.1 Introduction — 515
 - 22.2 Background material: Gene clusters and strong interval trees — 518
 - 22.3 Exploring mitochondrial rearrangements — 521
 - 22.3.1 Pairs of gene orders — 521
 - 22.3.2 Gene orders with a given phylogeny — 522
 - 22.3.3 The rearrangement inventory graph — 525
 - 22.4 Tandem duplication random loss — 527
 - 22.5 Character-based approaches — 528
 - 22.6 Concluding remarks — 529

Roman R. Stocsits, Harald Letsch, Karen Meusemann, Björn M. von Reumont, Bernhard Misof, Jana Hertel, Hakim Tafer, and Peter F. Stadler

- 23 RNA in phylogenetic reconstruction — 531**
 - 23.1 Introduction — 532
 - 23.2 RNAsalsa: Improved alignments of ribosomal RNA — 533
 - 23.3 Substitution models for structured RNAs — 535
 - 23.4 Practical applications of structured RNAs in molecular phylogenetics — 536
 - 23.5 Concluding Remarks — 537

Jörg Lehmann, Carina Eisenhardt, Veiko Krauss, and Peter F. Stadler

- 24 Intron positions and near intron pairs — 539**
 - 24.1 Introduction — 539
 - 24.2 Near intron pairs — 540
 - 24.3 Phylogenetic applications of NIPs — 542
 - 24.3.1 Holometabolic insects — 542
 - 24.3.2 NIPs and the metazoan tree — 544
 - 24.4 NIPs and the mechanisms of intron gain — 547
 - 24.5 Conclusion — 548

Alexander Donath and Peter F. Stadler

- 25 Molecular morphology: Higher order characters derivable from sequence information — 549**
 - 25.1 Introduction — 549
 - 25.2 Characters and "pseudo-characters" — 552
 - 25.3 Practical approaches to molecular morphology — 554
 - 25.3.1 Gene content — 554

25.3.2	Metabolic networks — 555
25.3.3	Repetitive elements, introns, and NUMTs — 555
25.3.4	Genome rearrangements — 556
25.3.5	MicroRNAs as phylogenetic marker — 557
25.3.6	Protein domains — 559
25.3.7	RNA secondary structure elements — 560
25.3.8	Alignment gaps as pseudo-characters — 560
25.4	Concluding Remarks — 562

Patrick Kück, Bernhard Misof, and J. Wolfgang Wägele

26	Systematic errors in maximum-likelihood tree inference — 563
26.1	Introduction — 563
26.1.1	Choice of an appropriate tree reconstruction method — 563
26.1.2	Long-branch artifacts (LBA) — 565
26.1.2.1	Three different classes of LBA — 566
26.1.2.2	LBA and empirical data — 566
26.1.2.3	Methods to avoid LBAs — 567
26.1.2.4	Methods to detect LBAs — 568
26.1.3	The influence of model and parameter choice on ML tree inference — 569
26.1.3.1	Among-site rate variation (ASRV) — 569
26.2	Materials and methods — 570
26.2.1	Simulations — 570
26.2.2	Maximum Likelihood analyses — 572
26.2.3	Scoring — 573
26.3	Results — 573
26.3.1	Reconstruction success for Topology A — 573
26.3.2	Reconstruction success for Topology B — 575
26.3.3	Reconstruction success of the reduced taxon set of Topology B — 577
26.3.4	Reconstruction success of topologies C–F — 578
26.3.4.1	Topologies C and D — 578
26.3.4.2	Topology E — 578
26.3.4.3	Topology F — 579
26.4	Discussion — 580

Patrick Kück and J. Wolfgang Wägele

- 27 Topological bias of maximum-likelihood trees inferred from star phylogenies in the event of correct and incorrect model assumptions — 585**
- 27.1 Introduction — 585
- 27.2 Methods — 587
- 27.2.1 Simulations — 587
- 27.2.2 Maximum Likelihood analyses — 589
- 27.3 Results — 589
- 27.3.1 Simulation setup A — 589
- 27.3.2 Simulation setups B and C — 591
- 27.4 Discussion — 592

Ingo Ebersberger and Arndt von Haeseler

- 28 Exploring phylogenomic data — 595**
- 28.1 Introduction — 595
- 28.1.1 Tree thinking in evolution — 595
- 28.1.2 Reconstructing the evolutionary history of species — 596
- 28.1.3 From phylogenetics to phylogenomics — 598
- 28.1.4 Phylogenomics – The ultima ratio? — 599
- 28.1.5 Artifacts during phylogeny reconstruction — 600
- 28.1.6 Why are phylogenomic trees sometimes hard to interpret? — 601
- 28.2 Compiling phylogenomic data sets — 601
- 28.2.1 Orthology inference — 601
- 28.2.1.1 Identification of orthologs in complete gene sets — 602
- 28.2.1.2 Identification of orthologs in incomplete gene sets — 603
- 28.3 The taxon-gene matrix — 606
- 28.3.1 Generation of the taxon-gene matrix — 606
- 28.3.2 Matrix reduction: Final selection of taxa and genes — 607
- 28.4 Phylogeny reconstruction — 608
- 28.4.1 Phylogenomics reconstruction from many genes — 608
- 28.4.2 Selecting appropriate evolutionary models — 609
- 28.4.2.1 The MISFITS approach — 610
- 28.4.3 Tree reconstruction and inference of species trees from gene trees — 613
- 28.4.4 The criterion of tree consistency — 615

References — 619

Index — 723